

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,683	01/05/2004	Kai-Chi Chen	11846-US-PA 1682	
31561	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			ZARNEKE, DAVID A	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

TAIWAN		DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	'K					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/707,683	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Zarneke	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/5/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Figure 3, claims 1-5, in the reply filed on 9/28/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,683

Art Unit: 2891

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda et al., US Patent Application Publication 2003/0183946.

Fukuda (figure 2) teaches a chip package structure, comprising:

a carrier [1];

a chip [4], having an active surface with a plurality of bumps [2] thereon, wherein the active surface of the chip is bonded to the carrier using a flip-chip bonding technique so that the chip and the carrier are electrically connected; and

an encapsulating material layer [5], covering the chip and the carrier and filling the bonding gap between the chip and the carrier, wherein the encapsulating material layer between the chip and the carrier has a first thickness and the encapsulating

Application/Control Number: 10/707,683

Art Unit: 2891

material layer on the chip has a second thickness such that the second thickness is between $0.5 \approx 2$ times the first thickness ([0035]).

Regarding the thickness limitation, Fukuda teaches the semiconductor chip being spaced away from said substrate by a distance of 0.055 mm or less (the first thickness) and a portion of said molding resin layer opposite to said substrate having a thickness of 0.15 mm or less (the second thickness). Therefore, the second thickness is within $0.5 \approx 2$ times the first thickness.

Consequently, Fukuda teaches the second thickness is between 0.5 ≈2 times the first thickness, or in the alternative Fukuda renders obvious the second thickness is between 0.5 ≈2 times the first thickness.

Regarding claim 2, Fukuda teaches or renders obvious the maximum diameter of particles constituting the encapsulating material layer is smaller than 0.5 times the first thickness ([0050]). The teachings of the longest and average diameter fall within the claimed range.

With respect to claim 3, Fukuda teaches or renders obvious the package further comprises an array of solder balls [6] attached to a surface of the carrier away from the chip. While not specifically stating the terminals [6] are solder balls, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that these terminals are solder balls or that solder balls would be attached thereto.

In re claim 4, while Fukuda fails to teach the package further comprises a passive component attached and electrically to the carrier, the attachment of a passive component to the carrier is conventionally known in the art and would have been

Art Unit: 2891

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The use of conventional materials to perform there known functions in a conventional process is obvious (MPEP 2144.07).

As to claim 5, Fukuda teaches the carrier is selected from a group consisting of a package substrate [1] and a lead frame ([0032]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Zarneke Primary Examiner

December 11, 2005